

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,267	07/10/2007	Koji Tominaga	KKP0002US	9701
23413 CANTOR CO	23413 7590 07/28/2011 CANTOR COLBURN LLP		EXAMINER	
20 Church Street			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
22nd Floor Hartford, CT (06103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, -			1716	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/585,267	TOMINAGA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RUDY ZERVIGON	1716	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\times\) The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

- A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of fling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

 AMENDMENTS
- 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) \(\sumeq\) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).

 7. Morpurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Movement of the proposes of appeal and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be relected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: _____
 - Claim(s) objected to: ______ Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-8
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence flied after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. I he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 3/14/2011
- 13. Other: .

/Rudy Zervigon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1716 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's July 8th 2011 arguments are centered on "Appendix A" The Examiner finds no such "Appendix A" focus ment after searching the entire IF-be Examiner finds no such "Appendix A" document after searching the entire IF-be. Applicant's position that a portion of the lines interfacing with valves 13-15 are not gas lines but are signal lines is understood and appreciated. However, with ONE signal line per valve as shown, valves 13-15 thus convey 4, 3, and 5 inlets/outlets respectively. As noted by the Examiner's page 9 in OA 2/8/11, Fujloka Identically teaches this structure at column 3, lines 26-27; ...and the three way valves 13 and 15..." Although not specifically mentioned by Fujloka, valve 14 must also be a three-way valve because of the identical structure it has with velves 13 and 15 as shown in Figure 1. Applicant's arguments centered on "segments A-B and A-C' based on Applicant's absent "Appendix A" cannot be substantiated as noted above. However, that all of Fujloka's gas lines are "connected" to either mass flow controllers or reaction vessels is readily appendir from Figure 1. The Examiner invites Applicant to an interview to clarify the stated missing appendix and expand on the positions already set forth. See the Examiner's contact information at the end of any submitted office